HISTORY

OF THE

CLEMENCY

OFOUR

ENGLISH Monarchs,

FROM THE

REFORMATION, down to

With fome COMPARISONS.



LONDON:

Printed for N. Mist, and Sold by T. Warner; at the Black Boy in Pater-Noster-Row. 17171
Price 6 d.

HISTOKY:

CLEMENCY

ENGLISH Viousichs

THERMONER

OF BUILDING TO THE STATE OF THE

AND STANKE OF SHIRL HE SHIS.

WOONDING

New Two doc los Chertes interior



Nations, Girant worker and Com-

Erf o Narion, I nat they

cleeni'd, even in their

Clemency, &c.

**** LEMENCY is the Glory of a Throne, the brightest Part of Ca Majeffy, and renders a Prince more God-like than any other Vertue, at leaft, than any that is in His Power to Exercise.

Even in the Time of the Ifraelitifb Monarchy, it was the Fame of those Kings who were of God's immediate Bitablishment, that they were merciful Kings 5" and ever fince the planting the Christian Religion in the World, it has been the peculiar Honour of all Christian Princes, to be Ckment and Compafionate : Nay, to the Honour of the Reformation, it will, I believe, be an uncontested Truth; as long as the Etis'd ProProtestant Reformation has a Being in the World, That the more Reform'd any Prince is, the more truly Religious, and personally Pious any Prince is, the more merciful and compassionate he is always found.

The Christian Religion teaches and instills into the Soul, not only of Princes, but of whole Nations, CLEMENCY and Compassion in all Cases, where proper Objects

of fuch Principles present themselves.

And not to be partial to our felves, I believe also, that it may, without boasting, be justly said of the English Nation, That they have been always esteem'd, even in their natural Disposition, a merciful, generous, and compaffionate Nation; merciful to those who are expos'd to Punishment by their Laws; generous to an Enemy, and compassionate to the Miserable ; even the Constitution of England is a merciful Constitution, and testifies abundantly for the Disposition of our People, in all that is now advanced, (viz.) That they are a merciful People. No Torthres to force Confession whether guilty or not; no cruel Executions, no lingring Deaths are practis'd here, or allow'd by our ment, that they were very that X and a swall

The Reformation has this Honour peculiar to its felf; all Racks, Tortures, cruel and languishing Deaths, as if peculiar to Popery, were extinguish'd at the Reformation; and this Queen Mary the Bloody, as she was righteously call'd, allow'd those things to be practis'd

dis'd again by the Popish Priests, in their Perfecution cof poor Protestants : Yet it is observable from the History of those Times, that even then the People of England took no Pleasure in them, but abhorr'd the bloody Proceedings of the Popish Clergy: Nay, the very Papifts themselves, the Laity I mean, did not like it, much less did they delight in it; and that Aversion to Cruelty among the People, made the Reformation take Place in this Kingdom the more early, in the glorious Reign of her Successor.

When Queen Elizabeth came to the Crown. tho' a Spice of Cruelty in the Constitution might remain, by the remaining of old Popish Laws, yet we find, on the Complaint made to her of the cruel Execution of the Fesuits, where was heard to pronounce the Name of Jesu three times, after the Executioner had begun to Quarter him, Her Majesty gave politive Orders that no fuch thing should be done again; and that they should, in case of Quartering the Bodies, let them Hang till they were quite dead.

Common Fame reports, that it was in her Time also, that the Hanging in Chains alive was forbidden by the personal Clemency of the Queen; but as History does not confirm that any fuch Execution was at all pravi Etis'd in England, I will not determine that Point.

(Y919)U

oils share or visca 200) and me From

From the Mercy shewn in the Execution of condemn'd Malesactors, I come to mention next that of sparing and pardoning Criminals, a peculiar Act of Clemency in our Princes, and in which it will appear, that our English Monarchs have, on many Occasions, given such Proofs of Royal Clemency inherent in them, and even peculiar to them as English, that perhaps sew Nations

can boaft of the like.

Henry VIII, the last of the Popish Kings, and his Daughter Queen Mary, the last of Popish Governours, cruel and inexorable, stand as Witnesses of the Distinction I have made between the Tendency to Clemency. and Mercy, which the Reformation naturally carried along with it two Instances of this are recorded of them, which are peculiarly to the Case, besides many others I could collect. First, When John Lambert, a glorious Martyr, fuffer'd for the Reformation, and was brought to a Hearing before the King, furrounded with his Clergy in Sr. Paul's Church, when he had with inexpressible Courage; Strength of Reason, and Force of Scripture, baffled, tho' not filenc'd the Priest that opposed him, then with a moving Eloquence, in such a modest and handsome Manner, as drew Tears from the Eyes even of his Enemies, turning his Speech to the King, he cast himself upon the Justice and Clemency of his Majesty; the inexorable Tyrant turning from him, (and meerly to gratifie the Clergy)

Clergy) gave him this barbarous Answer. Nay, Man, if thou leavest thy felf to me, thou must Die. The next Example is of Queen Mary in the Case of Arch-bishop Cranmer who, after her Priofts had prevail'd upon the Weakness and Fear of the good old Bishop to Recant, and to fign his Recantation, in which Cafe, almost any other of the Martyrs might have had their Lives; yet the merciless Queen remembring what he had done in the Matter of her Mother's Divorce, determin'd him to the Stake without Mercy, there having been no Crime laid to his Charge but Religion; which after his faid Recantation, could not be counted any Crime, nor would the hear any Intercession for him. And for the rest of that Queen's bloody Reign, it is Recorded by our best Historians, That even King Philip, tho? a Spaniard by Birth, and King of Spain, a Nation and a Government noted for Cruelty, and tho' a Spanish Papift, yet was much more mercifully inclin'd than his Queen, who had it not been for him, would certainly have put to Death her own Sifter, how off more Tandhol's

But it not only is to be faid, that fince the Reformation, not only no such Instances of Cruelty are to be found, but even the general Disposition to Cruelty, which the Monarchs of the former times frequently shew'd, wore off gradually, and the Protostant Kings of this Nation, even from the Beginning of the

log

the Reformation, have been always merciful Kings of they de tour en tell tell resgning

no To give some sew Instances of the native Clemency of the English Monarchs, I begin with King Edward VI, the Clemency and Goodness of whose Disposition was such. that he would always shed Tears when he figned a Warrant for the Execution, even of common Criminals; and with what Difficulty he was brought to fign the Execution of a certain Woman condemn'd for Heretical. as was then thought, blafphemous Principles, Bishop Burnet, in his History of the Reformation, gives us a very full and particular Account : In the Beginning of his Reign, there were great Commotions about Religion, and Two notorious Rebellions, (viz.) One in Devonsbire, where the City of Exeter was greatly diffres'd by the Rebels; and the other in Norfolk, were the Rebels, to the Number of 30000, took and posses'd the City of Norwich, and committed horrid Cruelties, 100 if bal

The merciful Prince, a Man in Judgment, tho' a Youth in Person, shew'd the Compas-'fion of a true Father of his Country, even to those Rebels, exposulating with them in his publick Declaration, and inviting them to be fatisfy'd, and fubmit to the Laws and Government of their Country: And tho' he behav'd equally Magisterial in affuring them of severe Punishment if they proceeded in their Rebellion, yet he first did all that was

possible to prevent their precipitating themselves into Ruin and Destruction: And at last, after they were overcome in Battle, and when to deter others, needful Examples were made of those most guilty; the Clement and Pious King inclin'd to Compassion, freely let go Thousands that had most

infolently provok'd and abus'd him.

The Clemency of Queen Elizabeth is, in many Instances, so remarkable, that it scarce can require any Inlargement; and tho' by the continued Plots of the Papists against her Life, the Rebellion and Perjury of the Irish; and oftentimes of her own Subjects; she was oblig'd to make many Examples of her Justice, (for Clemency by no Means excludes the necessary Execution of Justice) yet the Number of Criminals Pardoned in her Reign, and that after frequent Abuses of her Clemency, give her most justly the Title, of a Queen of most exemplary and admir'd Benignity and Clemency.

The pacifick Reign of King James I. testified to the whole World the Benignity of his Disposition, and that he was by his very Temper inclin'd to Acts of Clemency and Mercy, as appears on many Occasions thro' his whole Reign; in some of which, he even suffer'd the Reproaches of his People, for being deficient in Justice: For I lay it down as a Maxim in Government, that Clemency in Princes is not a Stop of the Execution of the Law, for that would be to destroy Government,

vernment, not uphold it; but it is a compatronate Mitigation of the Extremities of Justice, when Men by exorbitant Offences febject themselves in an extrordinary Number to the Sword of Justice, and when the Prince being more than usually provok'd, yet acting with the truly noble and God-like Principles of Pity and Compassion, lays alide his Anger, juftly ftirr'd up to deltroy, and with a Paternal Pity spares his offending Subjects, as a Father spares the Son that ferves him. In this, both Queen Elizabeth and King James gave Testimony of a Dispolition to Mercy, even to an Extreme, which they were blam'd for: The former in the Case of her Irish Rebels, who indeed the was to easy to forgive, upon the least Appearance of Repentance, and of returning to their Duty, that she was grolly impos'd upon by feign'd Submissions, and a Countenance of Loyalty, without the Intention of it. The like may be faid of King James, who too early ceas'd the Profecution of the Abettors to the Popif Plot, and spayed the Murderers of Sir Thomas Overbury; and even at laft, brought himfelf into Concempt both abroad and at home, as a pufilanimous and cowardly Prince, meerly from the Abhorrence he had in his Dilposition to the shedding the Blood of his as a Massari in Government, that Sasjete

King Charles I. the Successor of this King, lost both his Crown and Life by the mistaken

mistaken Clemency and Easiness of his Difpolition, giving even the Sword of Government out of his Hands, and putting the just Power of the Crown into the Hands of his treacherous Subjects, on the meer Pretence of its being for his Peoples Good, till his Defire for the good of his People, made him perfe-Ctly to neglect his own good, and the Safetyand Peace of his Posterity; and till that very People who drew him into the Snare, and importun'd him to those Concessions, on the Pretence of the Publick Good, play'd upon the Goodness of their Prince, and abusing his Benificence, made it be a Part of his just Character, That he both granted too much, and denied too much, till at last, having granted so much that he had no Power to deny, the ungrateful Craver destroy'd him by his own Clemency.

His Son King Charles II. fucceeding, enter'd his Reign with a general A& of Grace. extended to all the Fragments of the most irreconcileable Enemies of his Crown, the natural Clemency of his Temper disposing him to cancel all his Resentments, and to forgive the Agents of the most violent Rebellion that ever was raised against the Sovereign in these Nations: His long, pleasant, and peaceable Reign, and his Disposition to generous and compaffionate things, had for inur'd the People to an easie Government, and a very flack-handed Administration, that they were rather too impatient when a ftreighter Hand began to guide them, and cried narchs,

cried out of Tyranny upon the first Opprections, and that even before some Folks thought they ought to have complain'd.

Whoever being discontented at some Transactions of this King's Reign, might reproach it with some Hardships and Violencies towards the latter End of his Time; yet all allow the King himself to be no Man of Blood, and as far as he acted his own Inclination, nothing but Clemency and Mercy was practised, even in some of the most urging and provoking Cases; his Disposition was all Clemency and Compassion, and if at any time he was over-rul'd to act otherwise, he was so far acted by others, and not left free to the Agency of his own Disposition.

The Course of History brings us to King James, and here Popery intervenes, wherein bloody Principles return'd, and by Consequence bloody Practices; and if those times had continued, we might, for ought I see, have return'd to the Barbarisms and Cruelties of Popery; and for this Reason, I make this Reign an Exception to the Case before us.

As to the two past Reigns, (viz.) of King William and Queen Anne, I think it needs not that I should bring them into the Account which I collect from the History of Ages past; the Memory of every Reader being able to supply the Story, and to enumerare the Particulars, and to confirm the Proposition, (viz.) That Clemency and Mercy have been inherent in the English Monarchs.

narchs; and the Kings of Ifrael have been

merciful Kings. The state of th

It remains to bring this down to the prefent Times, and enquire whether this inherent Principle of Clemency is continued or abated, and whether the Disposition to Mercy, which our Monarchs have been so much distinguish'd by, has forsaken the Throne, Yea, or No.

In the midft of the Variety of Complain ers which the present time is fill'd with this Enquiry is unquestionably seasonable. feeing some tell us, on one hand, That the Maxims of Government are broke, and the Sinews of Justice weaken'd, by the too great Extent of the Royal Mercy, that Rebels are pardoned before they ask it, and confequently before they deferve it; and that as they receive it unthankfully, fo they will use it unworthily, and the Publick Peace be thereby depriv'd and defeated of the End of the Royal Clemency, which is the reclaiming of Rebels, and restoring penitent Subjects to a State, in which they may have an Opportunity to shew the Sincerity of their Repentance. H ve has inclumeston and from

On the other hand, we have some who complain, (and that something publickly and boldly too) of the very Act of Clementry by which they themselves are pardon'd; and particularly of the Delay of it; the Restrictions and Exceptions in it; That it forgives but Pen; excludes those who have most B 2

Need of it; has been delay'd so long, till those that are pardon'd are first ruin'd and made desperate: The Nature of an Act of Grace is destroy'd in it, and the pretended Clemency of it expos'd. I speak herein their own Words, which I crave may be allowed me as a Quotation.

The Sum of the present Discourse then is, to enquire into the Reasonableness of these Complaints, and see at last whether it be true or not which some alledge, That King GEORGE has out-done in Clemency and Paternal Compassion, all the British or English Monnarchs that ever went before him; Or, whether the Complaint of the Narrowness and

Delay of his Mercy, &c. are just ?

In purfuing a Discourse so nice, and liable to fo many Objections, it is necessary to enquire into the ancient Ufage of Princes in former times, when their Subjects, by Tumults and Rebellions, have provok'd their Inflice, and fatlen under the Power of their Arms. The first thing I meet with here, I mean that is objected against the present Case, is, That in Cases of Rebellion, it has been usual by Proclamation, and by Heralds, to give Notice of fit; wto fend the Rebels first a Summons to lay down their Arms, with Promife of Partion to all fuch as shall immediately, or by fuch and fuch limited Time, or Number of Days, quit the Rebels, and retire peaceably to their Habitations in This, they fax, was not offer'd here, the mistaken delu-Need

ded People were made desperate from the Beginning, and had no Room given them to retrieve the Mistake in time, or to come off after they had perhaps seen their Error: But being once ingag'd, they were made desperate, and having drawn their Sword, were obliged to throw away the Scabbord, and go on till it was too late to think of Mercy.

This Argument, tho' the most easie to be answered, and that more sully than any other, yet has been carried such a length, as to support a great many other Objections, and yet has obtain'd, with some People, the Name of Unanswerable. I shall consute and overthrow it in sew Words, by a bare History of Fact; in which I shall prove, that this Tender of Mercy, made formerly in Form, and by Proclamation, as is said, is now, by the Usage of things, made absolutely needless; that the Substance and End of it is, and has been preserv'd and practis'd, even in this late Rebellion, beyond what ever was done before.

That it was sometimes practis'd in former Reigns, to offer Pardon to Rebels and Rioters, upon Condition of laying down their Arms, &c. is Granted, but with this just Exception, at the same time, (viz.) That this was generally done when the Insurrection was formidable, the Government Unfix'd, the King or Queen Young, or attended with Circumstances which rendered their Case dangerous, and that more was

.000

. to

to be apprehended than appeared. I might fill this Tract with Examples of this kind; I'll name but a few in the Reign of Edward VI, a young Man, I may fay, an Infant Prince, the great Work of the Reformation just upon his Hands, Popery powerful and threatning, he had two Infurrections upon his Hands almost together, (viz.) in Devon-(bire, and in Norfolk ; (both which I mentioned before) and a third in Yorksbire: The first fo outragious and fo strong, as to be able to possels all the West of that County, and of Cornwall, and to lay Siege to Exeter, the Capital City of that Part of England. The other at Norwich, where the Rebels were Masters of the whole Country, had beaten the King's Troops, and taken the City of Norwich Sword in Hand, and were increas'd to be 50000 ftrong. Here it was, Prudence requir'd to use all possible Means to bring the abused, enraged Multitude to Reason, and to perswade them, by all gentle Methods, to return to their Duty : And therefore, to both these the King's Pardon was Tender'd; tho' by the Way, it was ineffectual to both, till they were first defeated in part by the Power of the Royal Army; the first under the Command of the Lord Grey; and the latter under the Command of the Earl of Warwick.

I make good this, (viz.) That these Pardons were not wont to be offerd, but when the Rebellions were formidable, and the

Government under Circumstances that made itinecessary, by giving three Examples on the other Hand, under Queen Elizabeth. The First was, the Rebellion of Shan O Neal, in Ireland; who, tho'he raifed a great Power. fubdued the greatest part of Ulfter, and had rais'd a powerful Army, yet was never offer'd any Pardon till he was foundly Beaten by the Queen's Troops; and submitting, beggtd for Mercy on his Knees. The next was, the Rebellion of the Earls of Westmoreland and Northumberland, who being furrounded, and rather frighted than beaten by the Earl of Effex, were purfued to the last Extremity, without any Offer of Pardon, or ever being able to obtain it afterwards. The Rebellion in Cumberland, by the Lord Dacre's fecond Son, was treated in the fame Manner; nor were they ever pardon'd at all, but above fifty of them were Out-law'd for High-Treason, and in the next Parliament Attainted some of them, (the direct Ancestors of the late Preston Rabble of Traytors) as may be feen by the Names upon Record, of Ratcliffe, Norton, Stafford, Swinbourn, and others. And this may suffice for the Suggestion of its being the Ancient Custom, to offer Pardon to Rebels in Arms; which ancient Ufage, has ever fince been omitted, and we find no Instance of it in King Charles the First's Time, nor in the Rebellion of Pentland, or Bothwell-Bridge in 2003 is 1 without any Projecution,

orolso

Scotland, or of the Duke of Monmouth in England.

But to come to the Case in hand: I find that by the modern Usage of the present Government, such Measures have been taken, such merciful Treatment has been shewn all those who have timely for sken the Rebels, that it has been fully equivalent to a Proclamation of Pardon, for that not a Man has been prosecuted, if he did but defert them, nay, tho almost to the time of Action.

So that in Effect, any of the Rebels, who thought fit to quit the Rebellion, however late, even just before the Defeat, was as fure of his Life as he could have been, had there been a publick Proclamation, or Offer of Pardon. Of this, besides the Examples of private Persons, of whom it may not be proper to speak, there are Examples of great Numbers, particularly, Five hundred of the Highlanders, who cross'd the Firth to joyn the Preston Party, and disliking their Meafures only, not difliking the Rebellson, (Mark that) I fay, difliking only their Measures, left them at Howick Moor, when the rest refolved to march for England. Those were made Prisoners, or rather furrender'd, because it was impossible for them to join their Friends; yet after having been detain'd only fo long as was necessary, to prevent their joyning other Rebels, they were all difmis'd without any Profecution, and long before

before the General Act of Grace; and in the mean time, were sublisted at the pub-

lick Charge.

It being then the receiv'd Custom, That all that will withdraw from the Rebellion they are imbark'd in, shall be receiv'd and pardoned; the Ceremony of offering Pardon with Sound of Drum and Trumpet at the Head of the Troops is perfectly needless, so far as it relates to the Question in hand: Neither is there for much Clemency in a Tender of Pardon prescrib'd to a Day, and to a formal Condition of quitting the Rebellion, in fuch and fuch a manner, as there is in a general Disposition of the Prince to Pardon, standing with an open Hand to receive every returning Penitent, while he flands with a drawn Sword in the other hand to correct, and able to conquer those who are obstinate in their Rebellion : So that in the Tender of Mercy to Rebels, the present Method exceedingly out-does that of a Proclamation; for here are no Conditions made. no Time limited, but even to the last Gasp, the bare Heat of Action excepted, he that will quit the Cause was sure to be accepted. This is evidenced, by the omitting to enquire after those that escaped, even to the very last Day of the Action at Preston, many of whom are fecure at their own Dwellings, and were fo before the Act of Grace, as if they had never been concerned in it. In all this, it must be allowd, that the Clemency of King George has not only Equall'd, but Exceeded that of any of the Kings and Queens his Predecessors; and the ancient Custom of publishing Pardon to Rebels, &c. is far out done, by the generous receiving, and merciful pardoning all that please to bethink themselves, and quit the Rebellion they were ingag'd in, even with-

out limiting them to a Day.

Nay, we find Abundance of those who were actually taken in Rebellion, in Arms, nay, in Battle, and brought up to London, or Carlifle, against whom, no special and particular Evidence of their Notoriety, their warmth in Action, and in promoting, &c. the bloody Work they were engag'd in, have been difmis'd without a Tryal; and this has been done with a peculiar kind of Advantage to their Characters, (viz.) that they have been difmis'd, as if for Want of Evidence, no body appearing against them. Not that had there been a Desire in the Government, to prompt their Profecution, there could have wanted Evidence to Convict them; or that it should be difficult to prove those Men concerned in the Rebellion, who were taken Prisoners in the very Action of Fighting against the King's Army, no one can be lo weak as to suggest such a thing. But the Mercy of the Government has been eminent, imitating that Bleffed Description of Divine Clemency, (viz.) Not desiring the Death of a Sinner, but rather that he should return, &c. How many have thus been acquitted, and have faved thereby their Life and Estate? And where is there an Example of a Clemency like this, in any of the Kings and Queens of this Nation till now?

It has been complain'd of, as a Defect of Clemency in the Disposition of the Prince, That His Majesty did not offer a General Act of Grace at his first coming to the Crown; which (say those People) would have settled the Minds of the People early, and engag'd them to the Person of the King, as a Prince worthy of their Affection, and capable of winning Mankind by the Force of his Clemency, to Love, as well as to Obey him: And this they alledge the Example of former Princes to enforce it, and to argue it to be Customary, as well as Necessary.

Now, not to trouble our Reader with oppoling to this the Example of many more Princes, who have found it convenient not to grant such Acts of Grace at the beginning of their Reign, or at least to defer them longer than King George has done, as King James, King Charles the First, Queen Elizabeth, and others; I say, not to insist upon this, We come to consider the Circumstances of the present time; the Rebellion against King George has been aggravated with such Circumstances, as sew have been before it; and therefore, it is not just to expect the same Exercise of Clemency, and in the same De-

gree,

gree, as in other Cases, where the Offence has been less flagrant, and where the Persons concern'd have not been equally Objects of the Royal Mercy. And yet, if as before, as high Degrees of Mercy have been express'd in this Reign, then will it follow, that His Majesty has been much more merciful than

any of his most merciful Predecessors

To look back to the beginning of His Majesty's Reign, to say nothing of the evident Disaffection which appear'd in the latter end of the precedent Reign, there appeared a profes'd Aversion to His Majesty's Succession, and that of His whole Family, in a numerous Party in the Nation; even before the King arriv'd, the Considence they had of their own Numbers, and of Foreign Assistance, (the old French King being then living) made them open and insolent. The King was not unapprized of this, tho His Majesty was not yet Landed; and Measures were taken to prevent whatever that Party might at that time, have been audacious enough to attempt.

And this, by the way, was an evident Proof of the Necessity there was, at the very first Step the King took upon his Landing, That His Majesty should Countenance and Distinguish his faithful Friends, and Discourage, and shake his Hands off those, who, he was well assured, were his secret Enemies, and were the Support of the Faction that

frood out against him.

Nor is it to be wondred at, that the Party fo displac'd at the Beginning of His Majefty's Reign, were fo exceedingly diffurb'd at those Measures, and cry out so loudly against them, as the Source of those desperate Steps which they took afterwards, feeing all the fecret Contrivances which they had form'd, to make the Project a Matter of Faction at Court, rather than a Matter of down right Battle in the Field, were defeated in that very one Politick Step. For now their whole Party was dejected, and all their Expectations at Court loft; nothing but Despair was in their View the King knew them; the Nation look'd upon them as mark'd Men; they had nothing to fupport them but the Rage of their Party, and no Resource but Rebellion : And to this they immediately had Recourse; for it is not difficult to Trace the first Councils of the Rebellion, as high as the very first Hours of the King's Landing.

To fay, That if the King had not diffinguish'd them, by his Contempt of their Service, the very first Night of His Majesty's Landing, there had been no Rebellion; is to say no more than this, That had not that been done, they had not broke out till their Treasons had been ripe, and their Party strengthen'd; and that they would have done it, with ten fold the Advantages

to their Caufe. The best visual

1739

To say, The King might have made them all his Friends, and might have secured them to his Interest, is to say, His Majesty's Intelligence of the English Affairs was very bad; that he did not know the Men; had no Notion of their Temper, Interest, and Design; which it was apparent by the Con-

fequence, was a grofs Mikake.

To fay, They would have been faithful Servants, was to fay, that it was possible for the Echiopian to change his Skin, and the Leopard his Spots; and that a Party of Men, who have always been implacable Enemies to English Liberty, were to be chang'd, upon the meer negative Obligation of not being turn'd out; the contrary of which is to be suggested, from the whole Tenour of their Conduct for some Ages past. But this is a Digression.

I return to the Subject I was upon, (viz.) Of the Clemency of the King: As the Conduct of these Men made their being dismiss'd from Publick Posts and Employments in the Government, absolutely necessary to the Publick Sasety; so the Insolence of their Conduct, their undutiful, disobliging Behaviour upon their being so dismiss'd, made the Thought of that Clemency, which His Majesty had at first resolv'd to treat them with, perfectly irrational, and the thing it self

impracticable.

It was absolutely necessary to restrain the Hand of Mercy for a while, till it might be seen

seen to what length these Men would go, and what Designs they had form'd. His Majesty was too well inform'd of their Conduct, not to see they had said Schemes for disturbing his Peace, and for insulting his Government; and to have offer'd an Act of Oblivion at that time, had been but to encourage their Treasons, not engage their Loyalty. The Event soon made it appear, that this was the true State of the Affair at that time, and therefore, we do not find them insist much upon the matter of a more easy Act of Grace.

But now we come to speak of the Act it self, and the Objections to it: And here it is easy to make it appear, that while they, on one hand, complain of the Limitations of the General Pardon, and the Want of Clemency in the Prince: I say, it is easy to make it appear, that the Persons to whom the Act of Grace is apply'd, were always, and I doubt still are, so far from deserving the Grace they want, that it scarce may be said, that they were proper Objects of the Prince's Clemency. And this I shall make appear in sew Words:

We hear much from their Advocates on this Subject, how eminent a Part of the Perfection of Majesty, this Attribute of Clemency and Mercy is, how it illustrates the Crown; how it renders the Prince truly God-like; that Kings like Jehovah, should pity the inferies of their offending Subjects; and should show themselves truly Fathers of their

children; that it was below the Majesty of a glorious Prince, to trample upon the mise-serable, and to delight in the Extremities of Justice, tho' provok'd to it: Such have been their Discourses, and great Flourishes we

have had upon these Heads.

But I cannot refrain here to put them in mind, of what I had once before an Opportunity to speak in the Case of the same People, and it is this: I joyn Issue with them upon the foot of their own Argument, and grant, that Kings should imitate the Great Sovereign of the Universe, and incline to Mercy, as the Almighty Creator has fet them a most glorious Example, But I must add, what perhaps may feem a bold Expression. till it is examin'd into, (viz.) That should King George pardon his Rebels, on no other Terms than God Almighty pardons his, the late Act of Grace must have had more Exceptions, and very few of the late Rebellion would be included. In a Word, King George is so far from coming short in his Mercy, of the Pattern of his Ancestors, that his Mercy is extended even beyond that of God himfelf.

Nor let any Man start at the Novelty, the Explanation is brief; let him look upon the whole Tenour of the Gospel of Peace, there is not one Promise of Pardon to any but the penitent, returning Sinner; the great Act of Grace, published to Mankind upon the coming

coming of our Bleffed Saviour into the World, has this general Exception to it, which King George's Act of Grace has not, (viz.) That all those who do not Repent, are Excepted ; not one impenitent Sinner comes within the Ada. But should King George except all the Gentlemen concerned in the late Rebellion. that do not fincerely and unfeignedly repent of it, the Parfon of - might well lift up his Hands at the reading of it, and fay, Who

then can be Savid?

And here, before I enter into the Question whether they are Penitent or no. I must crave leave to distinguish between the feveral forts of Penitence required in such Cases, and particularly, between Repenting of the Crime, and Repenting of their Misfortunes attending the Crime. It is not to be doubted, that many of them have repented, as they have perhaps great Cause, that they have brought themselves and their Families to ruin; as the Thief, who when he was ask'd, if he was not forry that he had committed fo great a Piece of Villany? Answer'd fullenly, That be was forry to be Hanged. But as this is not that Repentance which God requires, so neither is it a Repentance that anfwers the End of the King's Clemency; but here the Royal Clemency is extraordinary, that it is extended to those who are not at all convinc'd of the Crime, only being crush'd by the Punishment, defire Mercy, that they may referve themselves to abuse it.

I would not be Uncharitable; but any Man that is as well acquainted with the Persons and Temper of these Men and has look'd narrowly into their Conduct, both before the Act of Grace, and fince, may eafily fee, that more are Pardoned than are Reform'd: Nay, that many of these who are so Pardoned, reserve the Rancour of their Party, and of their Difposition, as much as ever, and would be as willing still to Rebel again, as they were before, tho' parhaps the narrow Escape they have had in the first Adventure, how near they were to the Gallows, and with what Difficulty they have come off, may make them act with more Caution and Prudence than they did before. But as to their Difaffection, it cannot be faid to be much abated.

Now, if King George has held out his Mercy to a fort of impenitent Rebels, who are only Beaten, not Chang'd; Conquer'd, not Reform'd : If he Pardons obstinate Rebels. even while they are in their Rebellion; if he Pardons withour Repentance; he not only acts in a Sphere beyond his Ancestors, but even in a manner which God himfelf has not

fet him an Example.

And were I to fay nothing elfe, the very undervaluing the Mercy which they receive. is an Evidence, that they neither defire it, or have a due Sense of it; that 'tis ill beflow'd, and that they want nothing but an Occasion to make an ungrateful use of it. What

What indeed can be a greater Testimony of their abusing the Mercy shewn them, than the open Endeavours to undervalue it; is, as if they desired the Obligation of it should appear less than it is, lest their Ingratitude should appear the greater, and render them odious even to their own Friends.

How unjust is their Method also, as well as their Delign, comparing the Lenity of the present Reigh with that of former Reigns, in order to lessen and depreciate the Grant, in which however, little but the Folly and Madness of their Project appears; on the contrary, were they just in their Enquiry, they would, as I have faid, compare not only the Persons forgiving, but the Persons forgiven, of which the few Hints which I have given, tho' much more might be faid, are fufficient to prove, that they are not to be match'd, for their unhumbled, envenom'd Rancour; the continued Rage of their Disposition, and their unrepenting Temper under the Mercy they receive; a Temper, that rather challenges Mercy as a Debt, than receives it as a Grace; and thus declare King George must be fomething more than Man if he forgives them, or fomething fo much less than a King as not to know them.

But fince they are for comparing the Mercy and Clemency of King George, with that of former Kings, why do they not bring in their merciful King James into the Account, and comparing the Rebellions of former

D 2

Reigns,

Reigns with the Rebellions in this; take an Account of the cruel Executions, the merciles Treatment of the poor Victims in the Affair of the Duke of Monmouth; let them enquire into the Numbers taken in the Pursuit, and Hang'd up Naked in the Field; the wounded Men immediately Hang'd in the Field for sport, for Cure of their Wounds; Two Hundred and Sixteen Hang'd afterwards in cold Blood, and in Forms of Law. In a word. Let them tell us what Mercy was shown to any that fell into their Hands, except only fuch, whose Pardon was purchas'd by immense Sums of Money, given to Favourites, and Sub-Favourites, at fecond, third. and fourth Hand; till many Men that had fled, chose to remain abroad, rather than give for a precarious Life more than it was worth, and starve their Wives and Children for Liberty to come home.

Has His present Majesty shew'd himself Cruel and Inexorable like these? Has there been a Jefferies in our Judges, or a Kirk among our Soldiers? Surely these Gentlemen have not heard what Havock was made in these Days, or have forgotten it; when the miserable had no Compassion shown them, but were resused Quarter in the Field, were Insulted and Taunted at the Bar, and sound neither Mercy or Justice, many being Executed without regard to the most just Defence, and clear acquitting themselves of the

n

e

h

e i;

n

ds.

2

as

X-

d

a-

d.

d

an

as

en

a-

en

in

he

m,

ere

ind

xe-De-

the

Fact, and who never had been from their own Houses.

On the contrary, let them examine the Prisoners who have been Tryed; have they been allowed all the fair and full Liberty for their Defence, or have they not? Have they been Taunted by the Judges, their Winesses Brow-beaten, and themselves run down by Clamour and Noise? Or, have they been indulg'd in every thing that might have been for their Advantage, in order to their Deliverance? Let the Prisoners speak for themselves; let us fend our Complainers to Carlifte, and enquire whether the Gentlemen there did not acknowledge, That it was their Mercy they were brought out of their own Country to be Try'd; That they were used with a generous Compassion, and with all possible Humanity by the whole Court, On the contrary, have not some of those that have been brought to Tryal, been acquitted by the manifest Partiality of Jury-men, whether by Bribery, or Difaffection, is not the Question? And have not the Prisoners at the Bar treated the Court, and the Government, with the utmost Insult, Contempt, and Indecency; as in the Case of Francia the Jew, and others? And yet the Judges have not been moved to do any thing by way of Refentment.

In a Word, Have not all our Proceedings at Law, been carried on with that Temper, Moderation, and Compassion, that the Government

vernment foem'd all along much better pleas'd, that any Gentleman could fairly acquit himself, than that they should be ob-

lig'd to Condemn.

After Guilt fairly fix'd, and the Prifoners, by the necessary Consequences of Verdict. Sentenc'd to Die, How few have been Executed, even of those that were Condemn'd? How much fewer than ever was the Cafe in a like Rebellion? Let the Number stand upon Record, and let Posterity judge of the Clemency of King George, they will foon condemn the Party for the most Ungrateful of Men; and declare, That they were not worthy of the Mercy they receiv'd: They will not fail to grant, that there is no Rule for comparing former Times with these; and will own, That King Gorge has Extended more Patience, Clemency, and Compassion to the worst of Rebels, than any Monarch of Great-Britain that ever went before Him.

Tables et au FLN IS.

committee of the party of the last temperature and the second of the second second second

out of the control of gronority 201 ton typh miles of soil as O one comments being the Cohen and a const

principal to the state of the s

the street of the first tink it was a beauty to your class of the season of the forest in a sufficient